

**INDEX OF A REGULAR MEETING
FORT LAUDERDALE CITY COMMISSION
NOVEMBER 20, 2001**

<u>Agenda Item</u>	<u>Reso./Ord. No.</u>	<u>Page(s)</u>
OB	Presentations:	
	1. Expressions of Sympathy	2
	2. Florida Inland Navigation District (FIND)	2
	3. Achievement of Excellence in Procurement Award	2
	4. Broward County Charter Review Commission (CRC)	2
	5. "Lucy Masliah Day"	2
OB	Development Permit – The Jefferson (PZ Case No. 5-R-01)	3
CA	Consent Agenda	3
M-1	Event Agreement – South Andrews Avenue Antique Car Show	3 & 10
M-2	Event Agreement – Holiday Extravaganza 2001	4
M-3	Event Agreement – Cranberry Jam	4
M-4	Agreement – Salt Lake Organizing Committee for the Olympic Winter Games of 2002 – Olympic Torch Relay	4
M-5	Interagency Program Agreement – School Board of Broward County, Mount Olive Baptist Church, Fourth Avenue Church of God, and The Starting Place, Inc. – Youth Motivation Program (YMP)	4
M-6	Transfer of General Fund Contingencies and Task Order – Recreational Design and Construction, Inc. (RDC) – Project 9995 – Welcome Park Phase II Project	5 & 10
M-7	Contract Award – Miami Skyline – Project 15220 – Palm Aire Park	5 & 11
M-8	Five-Year Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) for Years 2001 to 2006	5 & 11
M-9	Amendment to Task Order – Evans Environmental and Geosciences, Inc. (EE&G) – Project 10000 – Executive Airport Electrical, Lighting and Signing Rehabilitation	5
M-10	Agreement – Camp Dresser & McKee, Inc. – Project 10368 – General Wastewater System Consulting Services	6 & 12
M-11	Task Order No. 1 – Camp Dresser & McKee, Inc. (General Wastewater System Consulting Services) – Project 10414 – G.T. Lohmeyer Wastewater Treatment Plant Process Equipment Replacement Preliminary Design Report	6
M-12	Task Order No. 2 – Camp Dresser & McKee, Inc. (General Wastewater System Consulting Services) – Project 9766 – Progresso Area Storm and Sanitary Sewer Design	6
M-13	Task Order – Recreational Design & Construction, Inc. (RDC) – Project 10355 – South Beach Rest Room Replacement Building	6 & 12
Pur. 1	Co-Op Bid – Temporary Personnel Services	7
Pur. 2	State Bid – Cellular Telephone Services, Citywide	7
Pur. 3	Proprietary – Parking Meter and Repair Parts/ Replacement Program	8 & 13
Pur. 4	Proprietary – Electronic Parking Meter Hardware and Software	8
Pur. 5	Proprietary – Multi-Space Parking Meter Parts and Supplies	8

Index of a Regular Meeting
November 20, 2001
Page Two

<u>Agenda Item</u>	<u>Reso./Ord. No.</u>		<u>Page(s)</u>
Pur. 6		Proprietary – 13 Solar Powered Multi-Spaced Parking Meters	9 & 13
Pur. 7		Proprietary – Upgrade Passive Radar System at Executive Airport	9
Pur. 8		Proprietary – Pump Parts for Sanitary Sewer Pump Stations	9
Pur. 9		Bid 612-8485 – Pipe Repair Clamps and Service Saddles	10
PH-1	01-202	Lease Agreement – Fort Lauderdale Historical Society, Inc. – New River Inn, School House Replica, Philemon Bryan House, King Cromartie House, and Historical Society Research and Archives Building	13
PH-2	Continued	Application for Dock Waiver of Limitations – John E. Rudnick, 2807 Northeast 36 th Street	14
O-1	C-01-49 C-01-50 C-01-51 C-01-52	Appeal of Planning and Zoning Board Decision Denying Right-of-Way Vacations – Portions of Southeast 2 nd Avenue, Southeast 1 st Avenue, Southwest 7 th Street, Southeast 6 th Court, and Southeast 3 rd Avenue – E. Fredrico Fazio (PZ Case Nos. 14-P-01, 17-P-01, 19-P-01 and 20-P-01)	20
OB	01-203	Advisory Board Appointments	22

**MINUTES OF A REGULAR MEETING
CITY COMMISSION
FORT LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA
November 20, 2001**

Meeting was called to order at 6:25 P.M. by Mayor Naugle on the above date, City Commission Meeting Room.

Roll call showed:

Present: Commissioner Tim Smith
Commissioner Carlton B. Moore
Commissioner Cindi Hutchinson
Commissioner Gloria Katz
Mayor Jim Naugle

Absent: None

Also Present:	City Manager	F. T. Johnson
	City Attorney	Dennis E. Lyles
	City Clerk	Lucy Masliah
	Sergeant At Arms	Sergeant Abrams

Invocation was offered by *Reverend David Mesenbring*, Seafarers' House. At the request of Mayor Naugle, Reverend Mesenbring described his congregation at Port Everglades. He explained that Seafarers' House was one of the newest members of an old, worldwide tradition around the world of caring for those who worked on ships on a multi-faith basis.

Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

Motion made by Commissioner Moore and seconded by Commissioner Hutchinson that the agenda and minutes of the meeting as shown below be approved:

Regular Meetings October 16, 2001 and November 6, 2001

Roll call showed: YEAS: Commissioners Smith, Moore, Hutchinson, Katz, and Mayor Naugle.
NAYS: none.

Note: All items were presented by Mayor Naugle unless otherwise shown, and all those desiring to be heard were heard. Items discussed are identified by the agenda number for reference. Items not on the agenda carry the description "OB" (Other Business).

Presentations (OB)

1. Expressions of Sympathy

Mayor Naugle presented Expressions of Sympathy to the families of *former Mayor Porter G. Reynolds, Mrs. Margaret Reynolds, Dr. Porter Hastings Gott, Dr. Roberto Chen Ming-the, and Dr. David Johnsen.*

2. Florida Inland Navigation District (FIND)

Mr. Mark Crosley, Assistant Executive Director for FIND, presented the City with two checks – one for \$750,000 for dredging of New River and the other for \$245,000 for improvements to boat facilities at George English Park. He read a letter aloud from FIND Commissioner Susan Engel, who had not been available this evening. It offered congratulations and best wishes for continued successful ventures that would assist the City in planning and construction of future projects within the waterway community. Mayor Naugle expressed appreciation for this support, particularly in light of the New River's importance in this community.

3. Achievement of Excellence in Procurement Award

The City Manager presented the *Achievement of Excellence in Procurement Award* to Kirk Buffington, Purchasing Director, who accepted on behalf of the City's Purchasing Division. The City Manager noted that this was the second consecutive year that the Purchasing Division had received this award for organizational excellence in public and non-profit procurement. He advised that fewer than 100 organizations had received this award, and he congratulated staff for bringing this honor to the City.

4. Broward County Charter Review Commission (CRC)

Mr. Dan Lewis, Chair of the CRC, announced the Broward County Charter Review Commission (CRC) was going to hold a public hearing on Thursday, November 29, 2001, from 4:00 to 7:00 p.m. in the Commission Chambers of the Broward County Governmental Center to give the public an opportunity to discuss whether or not there was a need for creating the position of Mayor of Broward County. He stated the CRC was considering three different governance proposals, details of which were available on-line at www.broward.org/charter after November 21, 2001. Anyone interested could also call 357-6011 for more information. Mr. Lewis encouraged the public to provide input in this regard.

5. "Lucy Masliah Day"

Mayor Naugle read aloud a Proclamation declaring November 20, 2001 as "Lucy Masliah Day" in the City of Fort Lauderdale in honor of the City Clerk's birthday and declared her a "historical artifact."

Development Permit – The Jefferson (DRC Case No. 5-R-01) (OB)

Motion made by Commissioner Hutchinson and seconded by Commissioner Katz to waive the City Commission Request for Review of the application for development permit for the project known as The Jefferson, DRC Case No. 5-R-01. Roll call showed: YEAS: Commissioners Smith, Moore, Hutchinson, Katz, and Mayor Naugle. NAYS: none.

Motion made by Commissioner Smith and seconded by Commissioner Hutchinson to approve the City Commission Request for Review and set a public hearing to review the application for development permit for the project known as The Jefferson, DRC Case No. 5-R-01. Roll call showed: YEAS: None. NAYS: Commissioners Smith, Moore, Hutchinson, Katz, and Mayor Naugle.

Consent Agenda (CA)

The following items were listed on the agenda for approval as recommended. The City Manager reviewed each item and observations were made as shown. The following statement was read:

Those matters included under the Consent Agenda are self-explanatory and are not expected to require review or discussion. Items will be enacted by one motion; if discussion on an item is desired by any City Commissioner or member of the public, however, that item may be removed from the Consent Agenda and considered separately.

Event Agreement – South Andrews Avenue Antique Car Show..... (M-1)

A motion authorizing the proper City officials to execute an Insurance, Indemnification, and Hold Harmless Agreement with the **South Andrews Business Association** to indemnify, protect, and hold harmless the City from any liability in connection with the **South Andrews Avenue Antique Car Show** to be held **Saturday, December 8, 2001 from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.**; and further authorizing the closing of the southbound lanes of South Andrews Avenue from S.W. 14 Street to S.W. 17 Street, S.W. 1 Avenue from the parking lot at Bob's News (1500 block) south to the parking lot at the Copper Kettle Restaurant (1600 block), S.W. 16 Street from Andrews Avenue to S.W. 1 Avenue, and S.W. 15 Street from Andrews Avenue to the Frantasy Beauty Salon parking lot (approximately ½ block) from 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. on December 8, 2001.

Recommend: Motion to approve.

Exhibit: Memo No. 01-1752 from City Manager.

Event Agreement – Holiday Extravaganza 2001 (M-2)

A motion authorizing the proper City officials to execute an Insurance, Indemnification, and Hold Harmless Agreement with **Covenant House Florida, Inc.** to indemnify, protect, and hold harmless the City from any liability in connection with the **Holiday Extravaganza 2001** to be held **Saturday, December 8, 2001 from 5:00 p.m. to 11:00 p.m.**; and further authorizing the closing of Sunrise Lane from N.E. 9 Street to the north end of the Parrot Lounge from 8:00 a.m. Saturday, December 8, 2001 to 2:00 a.m. Sunday, December 9, 2001.

Recommend: Motion to approve.
Exhibit: Memo No. 01-1753 from City Manager.

Event Agreement – Cranberry Jam (M-3)

A motion authorizing the proper City officials to execute an Insurance, Indemnification, and Hold Harmless Agreement with **Las Olas Riverfront Associates** to indemnify, protect, and hold harmless the City from any liability in connection with the **Cranberry Jam** to be held **Wednesday, November 21, 2001 from 6:00 p.m. to 11:00 p.m.**; and further authorizing the closing of S.W. 1 Avenue from S.W. 2 Street south to the alley between Las Olas Riverfront and One River Plaza from 11:00 a.m. November 21, 2001 to 5:00 a.m. Thursday, November 22, 2001.

Recommend: Motion to approve.
Exhibit: Memo No. 01-1641 from City Manager.

**Agreement – Salt Lake Organizing Committee
for the Olympic Winter Games of 2002 – Olympic Torch Relay (M-4)**

A motion authorizing the proper City officials to execute an agreement with the Salt Lake Organizing Committee for the Olympic Winter Games of 2002 (SLOC) for the Olympic Torch Relay event to be held December 8, 2001.

Recommend: Motion to approve.
Exhibit: Memo No. 01-1771 from City Manager.

**Interagency Program Agreement – School Board of
Broward County, Mount Olive Baptist Church, Fourth Avenue
Church of God, and The Starting Place, Inc. – Youth Motivation Program (YMP) (M-5)**

A motion rescinding action taken at the September 5, 2001 meeting with respect to the Youth Motivation Program (YMP); authorizing the proper City officials to execute an interagency program agreement with the School Board of Broward County, Mount Olive Baptist Church, Fourth Avenue Church of God, and The Starting Place, Inc. for the YMP for FY 2001/2001; and further authorizing the proper City officials to execute all documents necessary to accept such grant funds.

Funds: See Memo

Recommend: Motion to approve.
Exhibit: Memo No. 01-1635 from City Manager.

**Transfer of General Fund Contingencies
and Task Order – Recreational Design and
Construction, Inc. (RDC) - Project 9995 – Welcome Park Phase II Project (M-6)**

A motion authorizing the proper City officials to execute a task order with RDC in the amount of \$58,995.85 for the Welcome Park Phase II project; and further authorizing the transfer of \$28,995.85 to P09995 (Welcome Park Phase II).

Funds: See Memo

Recommend: Motion to approve.

Exhibit: Memo No. 01-1711 from City Manager.

Contract Award – Miami Skyline – Project 15220 – Palm Aire Park (M-7)

A motion authorizing the proper City officials to execute an agreement with Miami Skyline in the amount of \$1,135,094.12 for construction of the Palm Aire Park.

Funds: See Bid Tab

Recommend: Motion to approve.

Exhibit: Memo No. 01-1709 from City Manager.

Five-Year Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) for Years 2001 to 2006 (M-8)

A motion authorizing the adoption of the five-year Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) for years 2001 through 2006; and further authorizing the adoption of the FY 2001/2002 CIP funding.

Recommend: Motion to approve.

Exhibit: Memo No. 01-1760 from City Manager.

**Amendment to Task Order –
Evans Environmental & Geosciences, Inc. (EE&G) –
Project 10000 - Executive Airport Electrical, Lighting
and Signage Rehabilitation (M-9)**

A motion authorizing the proper City officials to execute an amendment to the task order with EE&G in the amount of \$2,815 for additional environmental services for the Executive Airport electrical, lighting, and signage rehabilitation project.

Funds: See Memo

Recommend: Motion to approve.

Exhibit: Memo No. 01-1663 from City Manager.

**Agreement – Camp Dresser & McKee, Inc. –
Project 10368 – General Wastewater System Consulting Services (M-10)**

A motion authorizing the proper City officials to execute an agreement with Camp Dresser McKee, Inc. for general wastewater system consulting services. (Also see Items M-11 and M-12 on this Agenda)

Recommend: Motion to approve.
Exhibit: Memo No. 01-1667 from City Manager.

**Task Order No. 1 – Camp Dresser & McKee, Inc. (General Wastewater
System Consulting Services) – Project 10414 – G. T. Lohmeyer Wastewater
Treatment Plant Process Equipment Replacement Preliminary Design Report (M-11)**

A motion authorizing the proper City officials to execute Task Order No. 1 with Camp Dresser McKee, Inc. in the amount of \$69,949 for the G. T. Lohmeyer Wastewater Treatment Plant Process Equipment Replacement Preliminary Design Report. (Also see Items M-10 and M-12 on this Agenda).

Funds: See Memo

Recommend: Motion to approve.
Exhibit: Memo No. 01-1668 from City Manager.

**Task Order No. 2 – Camp Dresser & McKee, Inc.
(General Wastewater System Consulting Services) –
Project 9766 – Progresso Area Storm and Sanitary Sewer Design (M-12)**

A motion authorizing the proper City officials to execute Task Order No. 2 with Camp Dresser McKee, Inc. in the amount of \$1,544,302 for the Progresso Area storm and sanitary sewer design. (Also see Items M-10 and M-11 on this Agenda)

Funds: See Memo

Recommend: Motion to approve.
Exhibit: Memo No. 01-1669 from City Manager.

**Task Order – Recreational Design and Construction, Inc. (RDC) –
Project 10355 – South Beach Rest Room Replacement Building (M-13)**

A motion authorizing the proper City officials to execute a task order with RDC in the amount of \$396,000 for design and construction of the South Beach rest room replacement building.

Funds: See Memo

Recommend: Motion to approve.
Exhibit: Memo No. 01-1389 from City Manager.

PURCHASING AGENDA

Co-Op Bid – Temporary Personnel Services (Pur-1)

An annual agreement for citywide temporary personnel services (on an as needed basis) is being presented by various departments.

Low Responsible Bidder: Tri-State Employment Services
 New York, NY
 Atrium Personnel
 Fort Lauderdale, FL
 Westaff Services
 Fort Lauderdale, FL
 Budget Quality Staffing
 Miami, FL

Amount: \$ 80,000.00 (estimated annual)

Bids Solicited/Rec'd: N/A

Exhibits: Memorandum No. 01-1748 from City Manager

The Purchasing Division recommends award from the Southeast Florida Co-Op contract.

State – Cellular Telephone Services, Citywide (Pur-2)

An annual agreement for citywide cellular telephone service is being presented for approval by the Administrative Services, Information Technology Division.

Low Responsible Bidder: Cingular Wireless
 Fort Lauderdale, FL
 AT&T Wireless Services
 Fort Lauderdale, FL

Amount: \$ 208,500.00 (estimated annual)

Bids Solicited/Rec'd: N/A

Exhibits: Memorandum No. 01-1628

The Purchasing Division recommends approval from the Florida State contract.

Proprietary - Parking Meter and Repair Parts/Replacement Program (Pur-3)

An agreement to purchase parking meters and repair parts for annual replacement program is being presented for approval by the Administrative Services, Fleet Services Division.

Low Responsible Bidder: Duncan Eagle
Harrison, AR
Amount: \$ 179,975.81 (estimated)
Bids Solicited/Rec'd: N/A
Exhibits: Memorandum No. 01-1735 from City Manager

The Purchasing Division reviewed this item and supports the recommendation to approve the proprietary purchase.

Proprietary – Electronic Parking Meter Hardware and Software (Pur-4)

An agreement to purchase electronic parking meter hardware and software is being presented for approval by the Administrative Services Parking and Central Services Division.

Low Responsible Bidder: Duncan Eagle
Harrison, AR
Amount: \$ 11,894.00 (estimated)
Bids Solicited/Rec'd: N/A
Exhibits: Memorandum No. 01-1740 from City Manager

The Purchasing Division reviewed this item and supports the recommendation to approve the proprietary purchase.

Proprietary – Multi-Space Parking Meter Parts and Supplies (Pur-5)

An agreement to purchase multi-space parking meter parts and related supplies for fiscal year 2001-2002 is being presented for approval by the Administrative Services Parking and Central Services Division.

Low Responsible Bidders: Schlumberger Technologies
Morristown, NJ
Amount: \$ 53,271.00 (estimated)
Bids Solicited/Rec'd: N/A
Exhibits: Memorandum No. 01-1736 from City Manager

The Purchasing Division reviewed this item and supports the recommendation to approve the proprietary purchase.

Proprietary – 13 Solar Powered Multi-Spaced Parking Meters (Pur-6)

An agreement to purchase 13 solar powered multi-space parking meters is being presented for approval by the Administrative Services Parking & Central Services Division.

Low Responsible Bidder: Schlumberger Technologies
Morristown, NJ
Amount: \$ 136,519 (estimated)
Bids Solicited/Rec'd: N/A
Exhibits: Memorandum No. 01-1741 from City Manager

The Purchasing Division reviewed this item and supports the recommendation to approve the proprietary purchase.

Proprietary – Upgrade Passive Radar System at Executive Airport (Pur-7)

An agreement to purchase an upgrade to the Passive Radar System at the Executive Airport is being presented for approval by the Community and Economic Development Executive Airport Division.

Low Responsible Bidders: Lochard Corporation
Stoneham, MA
Megadata Corporation
Bohemia, NY
Amount: \$ 39,500.00
Bids Solicited/Rec'd: N/A
Exhibits: Memorandum No. 01-1703 from City Manager

The Purchasing Division reviewed this item and supports the recommendation to approve the proprietary purchase.

Proprietary – Pump Parts for Sanitary Sewer Pump Stations (Pur-8)

An agreement to purchase pump parts for sanitary sewer pump stations is being presented for approval by the Public Services Department.

Low Responsible Bidders: Sanders Company, Inc.
Stuart, FL
Amount: \$ 10,819.00
Bids Solicited/Rec'd: N/A
Exhibits: Memorandum No. 01-1738 from City Manager

The Purchasing Division reviewed this item and supports the recommendation to approve the proprietary purchase.

Bid 612-8485 – Pipe Repair Clamps and Service Saddles (Pur-9)

Cancellation and re-award of price agreement for pipe repair clamps and service saddles is being presented for approval by the Public Services Department.

Low Responsible Bidders:	Lion Plumbing Supply, Inc. (cancellation) Miami, FL A&B Pipe and Supply/MBE (re-award) Miami, FL
Amount:	Per Unit Pricing
Bids Solicited/Rec'd:	20/9 with 1 no bid
Exhibits:	Memorandum No. 01-1702 from City Manager

The Purchasing Division reviewed this item and supports the recommendation to approve cancellation and re-award.

Motion made by Commissioner Smith and seconded by Commissioner Moore that Consent Agenda Item Nos. M-1, M-6, M-7, M-8, M-10, M-13, Pur. 3 and Pur. 6 be deleted from the Consent Agenda and considered separately, and that all remaining Consent Agenda Items be approved as recommended. Roll call showed: YEAS: Commissioners Smith, Moore, Hutchinson, Katz, and Mayor Naugle. NAYS: none.

Event Agreement – South Andrews Avenue Antique Car Show (M-1)

Commissioner Hutchinson announced that this was the South Andrews Avenue Business Group's first event, and probably the first time Andrews Avenue had been closed in many years. She noted that the Olympic Torch would be passing on the same day, and she encouraged everyone to attend on December 8, 2001 from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Motion made by Commissioner Hutchinson and seconded by Commissioner Moore that Consent Agenda Item No. M-1 be approved as recommended. Roll call showed: YEAS: Commissioners Smith, Moore, Hutchinson, Katz, and Mayor Naugle. NAYS: none.

**Transfer of General Fund Contingencies and Task Order –
Recreational Design & Construction, Inc. (RDC) –
Project 9995 – Welcome Park Phase II Project (M-6)**

Commissioner Moore said he had consistently been opposed to this Park. Commissioner Katz thought the project should be completed and was appreciative of the \$30,000 Broward Beautiful Grant that had been obtained, but she objected to the extra \$28,000 coming from General Fund Contingencies. She wondered if the Parks & Recreation Department's budget could provide the funding. Mr. Bud Bentley, Assistant City Manager, suggested that the transfer from Contingencies be deleted from the item, and staff would seek alternative funding.

Motion made by Commissioner Hutchinson and seconded by Commissioner Smith to approve Consent Agenda Item No. M-6 as amended. Roll call showed: YEAS: Commissioners Smith, Hutchinson, Katz, and Mayor Naugle. NAYS: Commissioner Moore.

Contract Award – Miami Skyline – Project 15220 – Palm Aire Park (M-7)

Commissioner Katz was concerned because Miami Skyline had not done this type of project before, and she understood there were some environmental concerns to address. *Ms. Maria Dohna*, Vice-President of Marketing for Miami Skyline, stated that the company had work on parks in the past for the City of North Miami. In fact, the company had done this type of work many times although not necessarily in a park. She explained that commercial and residential projects of this nature had been performed, and she hoped the City Commission would put its trust in this firm.

Mr. Gabriel Rodriguez, General Contractor, stated that he had handled even larger projects than this one, including site work. He was aware that there were environmental issues involved in this project and would be careful. Commissioner Katz explained that she was not worried about the buildings but the Park. Mr. Rodriguez added that there would be a Project Manager on the site, although he had not been available this evening.

Commissioner Katz inquired about safety issues. Mr. Pete Sheridan, Assistant City Engineer, stated that most safety issues arose during the normal construction activity, and the Sand Pines would be preserved. Commissioner Katz hoped that Miami Skyline would take care with the environmental concerns and complete the project on time. Ms. Dohna assured her that would be the case.

Commissioner Hutchinson asked Mr. Sheridan what made him comfortable, and he replied that there was a bond in place. Further, Miami Skyline's track record indicated that the company had completed its projects successfully in the past and to the satisfaction of their clients. He also understood that a full-time Superintendent would be assigned to the project. Commissioner Hutchinson asked if that could be included in the contract as a condition. Mr. Sheridan advised it was contained in the contract. Mr. Rodriguez provided additional assurances in that respect.

Motion made by Commissioner Moore and seconded by Commissioner Smith that Consent Agenda Item No. M-7 be approved as recommended. Roll call showed: YEAS: Commissioners Smith, Moore, Hutchinson, Katz, and Mayor Naugle. NAYS: none.

Five-Year Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) for Years 2001 to 2006 (M-8)

Commissioner Katz thought some of the items in the CIP might have to be changed around due to financial concerns over the next few years. She asked if that was possible. Mr. Frank Coulter, Chairman of the CIP Committee, replied that anything was possible. He stated that periodic progress reports would be presented, and the Commission could alter the plan at any point.

Motion made by Commissioner Moore and seconded by Commissioner Hutchinson that Consent Agenda Item No. M-8 be approved as recommended. Roll call showed: YEAS: Commissioners Smith, Moore, Hutchinson, Katz, and Mayor Naugle. NAYS: none.

**Agreement – Camp Dresser & McKee, Inc. – Project 10368 –
General Wastewater System Consulting Services (M-10)**

Commissioner Smith was concerned about the level of oversight for the entire \$555 million project. He pointed out that this was a massive public works project, and he thought the City should add other independent eyes to watch over the work. Mr. Greg Kisela, Assistant City Manager, agreed completely. He stated that staff had tried to build in both external and internal resources to ensure integrity throughout the entire program. Mr. Kisela said that in addition to CH2M Hill and internal City resources, there would be additional internal auditors, attorneys, and external auditors to provide independent oversight.

Motion made by Commissioner Moore and seconded by Commissioner Hutchinson that Consent Agenda Item No. M-10 be approved as recommended. Roll call showed: YEAS: Commissioners Smith, Moore, Hutchinson, Katz, and Mayor Naugle. NAYS: none.

**Task Order – Recreational Design & Construction, Inc. (RDC) –
Project 10355 – South Beach Rest Room Replacement Building (M-13)**

Commissioner Smith inquired about the commitment to remove the old structure. Mr. Pete Sheridan, Assistant City Engineer, stated that staff would pursue immediately demolition. He advised that staff had been working with the Department of Environmental Protection, and he was hopeful the old building could be removed before the holidays. He noted that if the demolition cost exceeded \$10,000, it would have to be presented to the City Commission for approval. Commissioner Moore hoped this would not add to the cost of the Task Order. Mr. Sheridan understood.

Commissioner Smith said he had also received reports about the condition of the temporary facilities. Mr. Kisela stated that he would examine the maintenance, but they were temporary “porta-Johns” that were not aesthetically pleasing. Commissioner Smith noted that Mayor Naugle or Commissioner Katz had forwarded some interesting information about single-occupancy, self-cleaning, stainless steel facilities. He would have preferred four or five of those facilities in different locations on the beach to one major facility in a single location.

Mayor Naugle suggested that more information be obtained on these self-cleaning facilities. He thought some could be placed in the parking facilities in the beach and other locations. He also felt a sign should be posted so that the citizens would know that relief was coming. Commissioner Hutchinson understood the work would not start until 2003. Mr. Sheridan stated that staff would expedite the project as much as possible, although it typically took the DEP some 90 days for each review cycle. Mayor Naugle suggested that staff walk the paperwork through.

Commissioner Katz inquired about use of the restrooms in Birch State Park. Mr. Phil Thornburg, Parks Superintendent, stated that the issues had not yet been worked out with the State so the restrooms were open to the beach-going public. Commissioner Katz asked staff to expedite this process, which had already been going on for about a year. Mr. Thornburg agreed to do so.

Motion made by Commissioner Smith and seconded by Commissioner Moore that Consent Agenda Item No. M-13 be approved as recommended. Roll call showed: YEAS: Commissioners Smith, Moore, Hutchinson, Katz, and Mayor Naugle. NAYS: none.

Proprietary Purchase – Parking Meter and Repair Parts/Replacement Program (Pur. 3)

Commissioner Katz noted that the back-up information included a list of the projects involved in this item, and it had included the Galt Ocean Mile, north and south of Northeast 35th Street. She was not sure what types of parking meters were proposed, but the people in the area did not even want meters because there were so many single meters. Therefore, Commissioner Katz was hoping staff would take another look at it. Mr. Doug Gottshall, Parking & Central Services Manager, stated that this pertained to replacement of existing double-headed parking meters on the east side of A-1-A, north of 35th Street. Commissioner Katz thought this was awfully expensive.

Motion made by Commissioner Moore and seconded by Commissioner Hutchinson that Consent Agenda Item No. Pur. 3 be approved as recommended. Roll call showed: YEAS: Commissioners Smith, Moore, Hutchinson, Katz, and Mayor Naugle. NAYS: none.

Proprietary Purchase – 13 Solar-Powered, Multi-Spaced Parking Meters (Pur. 6)

Commissioner Smith asked if these meters would accept the 50% citizen discount cards. Mr. Kirk Buffington, Purchasing Manager, replied that they would. Commissioner Smith inquired about their locations, and Mr. Buffington believed they were located all over. Commissioner Smith wanted to ensure that citizens knew they could obtain discount cards for \$5 in order to obtain half-priced parking in many municipal lots with multi-spaced meters. Mr. Gottshall advised that the cost of the cards was \$3. He added that these were new installations, and the first would go into the new parking lots being created under the 17th Street Causeway Bridge. Another involved the parking lot at Broward Boulevard and Andrews Avenue, and Cooley's Landing would have a new installation. He stated that in the event the City took over the International Swimming Hall of Fame facilities, these meters would be used in that location as well.

Motion made by Commissioner Moore and seconded by Commissioner Hutchinson that Consent Agenda Item No. Pur. 6 be approved as recommended. Roll call showed: YEAS: Commissioners Smith, Moore, Hutchinson, Katz, and Mayor Naugle. NAYS: none.

**Lease Agreement – Fort Lauderdale Historical Society, Inc. –
New River Inn, School House Replica, Philemon Bryan House,
King Cromartie House, and Historical Society Research and Archives Building (PH-1)**

A public hearing was scheduled to consider a resolution authorizing the proper City officials to execute a fifty (50) year lease agreement with the Fort Lauderdale Historical Society, Inc. for use of the New River Inn, School House Replica, Philemon Bryan House, King Cromartie House, and Historical Society Research and Archives Building, in accordance with Section 8.13 of the City Charter. Notice of the public hearing was published on October 25, 2001 and November 1, 2001. At the November 6, 2001 meeting, the City Commission deferred consideration of this item to November 20, 2001.

Mayor Naugle called for those who wished to be heard. There were none.

Motion made by Commissioner Moore and seconded by Commissioner Hutchinson to close public hearing. Roll call showed: YEAS: Commissioners Smith, Moore, Hutchinson, Katz, and Mayor Naugle. NAYS: none.

Commissioner Smith referred to Item #7 with regard to completion of fund-raising efforts by 2013. He pointed out that Fort Lauderdale's 100th birthday was 2011, and he wondered if those goals could be achieved by 2011. Mr. Chuck Adams, Economic Development Department, advised that the actual target date of the agency was 2006, and 2013 was the absolute deadline that would trigger default. Commissioner Smith hoped the City would be paying proper respect to its history by 2011.

Mr. Bill Crawford, President of the Fort Lauderdale Historical Society, recognized the Trustees and Friends of the Historical Society who were present along with new Board member, Robert Lochrie, III, who was a fourth generation resident of Fort Lauderdale.

Commissioner Moore introduced a written resolution entitled:

RESOLUTION NO. 01-202

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, AUTHORIZING THE PROPER CITY OFFICIALS, PURSUANT TO SECTION 8.13 OF THE CITY CHARTER, TO ENTER INTO A LEASE AGREEMENT WITH THE FORT LAUDERDALE HISTORICAL SOCIETY, INC. FOR CERTAIN PROPERTIES LOCATED IN THE CITY'S HISTORIC PRESERVATION DISTRICT.

Which resolution was read by title only. Roll call showed: YEAS: Commissioners Smith, Moore, Hutchinson, Katz, and Mayor Naugle. NAYS: none.

Mayor Naugle expressed appreciation for the efforts of the Historical Society.

**Application for Dock Waiver of Limitations –
John E. Rudnik – 2807 Northeast 36th Street (PH-2)**

A public hearing was scheduled to consider a resolution authorizing the proper City officials to waive the limitations under 47-19.3(d) of the City's Unified Land Development Regulations (ULDR) to allow John E. Rudnik to modify and maintain a dock and boat lift that extends in the Rio Mary Rita Canal a maximum distance of 18 feet from the property line, where only a 11.5 feet distance was otherwise permitted. Notice of the public hearing was published on November 8 and 15, 2001.

Mayor Naugle called for those who wished to be heard. The following appeared:

Mr. Jamie Hart, Supervisor of Marine Facilities, explained that this was an application for a dock waiver to extend a boat lift approximately 20' into the adjacent waterway, which was approximately 115' wide. He advised that the Marine Advisory Board had recommended, by a vote of 7 to 6, that the applicant remove any excess extension of the cradle arms to the existing elevator lift where structurally feasible. The Board's recommendation had been based on concerns from an adjoining property owner to the west as to the safe docking of his vessel. Mr. Hart stated that it had been determined that a 24" catwalk cleaning platform could potentially be removed without affecting the structural integrity of the boat lift, and reduce the overall distance from 20' to 18'.

Mr. Hart said that staff had reviewed this application from both the land and water perspectives, and he had not found any safety issues associated with the current configuration provided guide poles were installed as required by the Code at the end of the lift. He stated that the applicant was seeking the 20' distance that reflected current conditions, as opposed to the 18' distance necessitated removal of the catwalk.

Mr. Walter Morgan, Attorney representing the applicant, distributed some photographs taken recently to demonstrate the current condition of the waterway, dock, etc. He explained that the idea of removing a part of the boatlift had been raised during the Marine Advisory Board meeting, and the applicant had not been prepared to address it. There had been no photographs to show the relativity of the catwalk at the time, and the applicant had been completely willing to install the guide poles mentioned by Mr. Hart.

Mr. Morgan stated that the boat was V-shaped, and while the catwalk added 2' to the dimension, it did not extend 2' beyond the boat. He explained that the bottom of the boat had a different width than the gunwales. Mr. Morgan stated that if the catwalk were removed, extended rods would be necessary to support the guide poles. Therefore, the width of the installation would not be reduced.

Mr. Morgan stated that the boatlift had a vertical construction, which was the least intrusive type available in terms of extension into the waterway. He said the applicant had gone to this expense because the property was at the end of the canal where water depth presented problems. Mr. Morgan advised that a boatlift was necessary due to these conditions, and he introduced *Mr. Mitchell Scavone*, of Ray Qualmann Marine Construction.

Mr. Scavone had installed the boatlift, and he advised it was the most compact available to deal with the existing circumstances at the end of a canal. He stated that the installation had initially permitted a 10' dock and a boatlift not exceeding 20'. Mr. Scavone had moved the dock and boat lift within the 20'. He said that if the arms or catwalk had to be removed, it could be done without compromising the structural integrity of the lift itself, but he recommended that the arms remain as is because they did not protrude out much past the boat. He stated that reflective guideposts could be installed, but this lift suited this application.

Commissioner Smith did not see the arms in the photographs that had been mentioned. Mr. Morgan clarified that they had to be installed within 30 days. He explained that the applicant had not been aware a waiver was required. A permit had been issued and the lift installed according to that permit, but the guide poles came into play when a waiver was granted. Commissioner Katz inquired about the width of the guide poles. Mr. Scavone replied that PVC pipe of about 3" diameter was utilized with reflective tape on the top.

Dr. John Rudnick, applicant, read aloud a prepared statement indicating that he had purchased his home after searching for a long time. Before the closing on the property, he had investigated whether or not the dock would support a lift for his boat, and the City had provided information that it would not be a problem. Dr. Rudnick had obtained a permit for the dock and lift, and the work had been done four years ago. He had kept his fully restored, 24-foot 1977 boat in this location for the past four years without any complaints from anyone, including the City.

Dr. Rudnick described his boat and the options for securing it at the dock. He stated that it did not encroach upon any setbacks, and his boatlift had not offended anyone until one neighbor had experienced problems with the City's laws about vessel setback requirements. Dr. Rudnick reported that his neighbors and the City's Marine Facilities Director had indicated that his boat did not present any navigational hazards. Further, his complaining neighbor's Attorney had recently testified before the Board of Adjustment that the property to the west had no view of the water in any case.

Dr. Rudnick said that it was common knowledge that there were hundreds of permitted, non-waivered boat lifts mounted on docks throughout the City. On his canal alone, there were two lifts wider than Dr. Rudnick's and five on the adjacent canal. Dr. Rudnick did not think it would be fair to render selective enforcement against one boatlift owner when there were so many others, particularly since his boatlift posed no hazard and did not interfere with anyone's rights. He had done nothing wrong, and he hoped the Commission would allow his boatlift to remain as it had for the past four years.

Mr. Morgan asked that the Commission grant the waiver for the requested 20' as recommended by staff. He pointed out that most communities followed a 20% rule rather than this City's 10% rule, and there was plenty of room to maneuver around this existing boatlift. Mr. Morgan understood one neighbor felt there was a navigational hazard, but the submitted photographs showed the docks in the immediate vicinity. He believed it was clear that the angle of approach into the neighbor's dock would be significantly cut back if the arms were extended.

Mr. Mark Stevenson, the neighbor to the west at 2801 Northeast 36th Street, opposed the waiver. He understood the applicant to have indicated that the dock had been constructed per the original permit and that the lift was the most unobtrusive lift available. Mr. Stevenson pointed out that the permit showed a "canted" lift, rather than a vertical lift and displayed the drawings he had obtained from the City. He stated that something completely different from the installation shown on the plans had been constructed. Mr. Stevenson submitted a photograph of Dr. Rudnick's boatlift as it currently existed.

Mr. Stevenson said he had never suggested guide poles. Rather, he had suggested to the Marine Advisory Board that the catwalk be cut off because his child could cut her fingers just touching metal while walking past.

Mr. Stevenson stated that the Code allowed Dr. Rudnick to have a boatlift that extended 11.5' into the waterway. He felt the ordinance was clear that such lifts could extend 10% into the waterway or 20', whichever was less. Mr. Stevenson thought that if Dr. Rudnick had researched these issues before purchasing his property, he should have learned that a waiver was required. If the City provided poor advice, Mr. Stevenson wondered if it was responsible for rectifying the situation. He felt his property value and view had been diminished, and he did not think he and others in similar situations should be victims.

Mr. Stevenson said that he had 40' of seawall, which blocked half his view of the water by the applicant's own admission. He submitted a photograph of his view at the time he had purchased his property, along with another showing the view today. Mr. Stevenson said his boat had been removed because he had learned it violated the Code. He felt this request presented navigational hazards in that it was a metal structure extending out into the waterway. Mr. Stevenson believed a fiberglass boat or a wooden dock were less hazardous, and it was an immovable object with sharp right angles. Depending upon the tide, it was sometimes above the water line, and sometimes it was submerged and invisible from above.

Mr. Stevenson said his boat had been docked at his property for four months. During that time, he had used his boat between five and ten times, and he had hit Dr. Rudnick's lift twice. He was not an inexperienced boat handler, and his was the only property up water from the subject property. Therefore, he felt he was the only person with interest as to whether or not this was a navigational hazard. Mr. Stevenson understood the docking conditions, and there was enough water to float his boat, so he did not understand why there was not enough for Dr. Rudnick's boat, which was even closer to the Intracoastal Waterway. He pointed out that everyone had the same wake and wind conditions to address, and there were other remedies, which he described.

Mr. Stevenson felt the City was inconsistent in its enforcement of waterfront rules, particularly at the ends of canals. He had been told there were hundreds of improperly permitted boat lifts all over the City, and he felt the City should work out a comprehensive plan that would not victimize people like him. Mr. Stevenson thought the granting of this waiver would only add to a long list of inconsistent policies by the City that hurt some people to the advantage of others. He hoped the Commission would deny this waiver, or at least put a moratorium on this and pursue a comprehensive plan to address this situation. Failing that, he hoped the Commission would require removal of the structure at the bottom of the boat so he could pass without fearing his child's fingers would be cut off.

Mr. Steve Moody, Attorney representing Rick Chavalier, said his client was out of town but he favored this request. He pointed out Mr. Chavalier's property on a sketch.

Mr. Scavone referred to the drawing Mr. Stevenson had referenced with respect to the permit that had been issued. He stated that those drawings were generic in nature and showed various attachment features that could be used. Mr. Scavone believed the City understood that and reiterated that the water next to the seawall was very shallow. He also believed the permit had been issued at a time when City staff had been under the impression that there was a 20% rule, but that was not the case in all areas of Fort Lauderdale. Mr. Scavone pointed out that the vessel was permitted to extend 30% into the canal, and pilings could be installed at a distance of 25'. If it was desired, he could install pilings to prevent people from hitting the boatlift.

Mr. Ed Benton said he lived next door to Mr. Chavalier. He did not oppose this application, but he understood the issues surrounding properties at the ends of canals were under study. Mr. Benton felt there should be some sort of resolution, one way or another, to address the situation. He pointed out that people who purchased property at the ends of canals thought they had some boating rights, only now he had learned he could only have a 5' boat at his dock. In fact, there had been a 27' boat docked behind his home when he had first seen it, and he thought most people assumed they would have some dockage rights when they boat property with deep-water access.

Mr. Benton encouraged the Commission to study this issue with some urgency because there were a lot of people living on Bayview Drive who were watching what was being done in terms of variances without a plan. He thought the most logical approach would be for affected property owners to work together on a plan for presentation to the City Commission, perhaps involving restrictive covenants, but this would be a major challenge.

Mayor Naugle stated that the 10' setbacks in this zoning district made dockage much more difficult. He hoped the Commission could consider some type of ordinance adjustment with respect to the canal ends, but that was something the Marine Advisory Board could study in the future. Commissioner Katz believed the Marine Advisory Board had asked that the Commission examine the problem rather than handling these on a case-by-case basis. Mayor Naugle thought staff could present some recommendations for review by the Board before Commission consideration.

Commissioner Smith asked if there was some study underway with respect to davits. Mr. Chuck Adams, Economic Development Department, stated that this specific issue was on the Pending Items List. Mayor Naugle noted that there were two separate issues – canal ends and boat lifts. Commissioner Katz felt this issue should be pushed to the top of the Pending Items List. She pointed out that there were similar situations throughout the City.

Commissioner Katz understood the Marine Advisory Board had recommended inclusion of the guide poles at the end of the arms on this boatlift. She believed they would address the possibility of anyone's fingers being pinched as they came around that area. Commissioner Katz also understood Dr. Rudnick was allowed to have his boat in the same place, so that situation would not be altered in any case. She supported the Board's recommendation and that of staff as listed in the back-up material.

Motion made by Commissioner Smith and seconded by Commissioner Hutchinson to close public hearing. Roll call showed: YEAS: Commissioners Smith, Moore, Hutchinson, Katz, and Mayor Naugle. NAYS: none.

Mayor Naugle understood Commissioner Katz recommended removal of the catwalk and installation of the guide poles. Commissioner Katz understood the Board's recommendation had been to install the guide poles and then take off whatever part of the catwalk remained. She believed that would alleviate some of Mr. Stevenson's concerns while allowing Dr. Rudnick to dock his boat safely.

Commissioner Katz made that motion, and it was seconded by Commissioner Smith.

Commissioner Moore wondered if there was some way for these neighbors to work this out amongst themselves. He thought this was something they could address, particularly if the Commission was not clear on how this should be handled in terms of all the similar situations throughout the City.

Mr. Morgan stated that Dr. Rudnick had already publicly committed before the Board of Adjustment to support Mr. Stevenson if he wished to seek a setback reduction variance from 10' to 5'. In addition, Dr. Rudnick had committed to work with the neighborhood toward some type of common plan. Mr. Morgan noted that relief from the 10' setback requirements at canal ends really had nothing to do with this waiver request as it was clear that the subject docking facilities were within the 20' allowed. He believed the City Attorney would confirm that information.

The City Attorney stated that the City did, in fact, have a 20' maximum distance for the dock and lifting mechanism. However, in order to install it in this particular location, the City Commission had to approve a waiver so it could exceed the maximum distance for the lift.

Mr. Stevenson said he had tried to make it clear that it was the boatlift itself that presented the problem. He pointed out that fiberglass boats moved, but metal structures did not. He also stated that he had offered to sit down with all the neighbors to reach some amicable resolution of the problem in writing, and he would still be happy to do so.

Mayor Naugle asked Mr. Stevenson to display his photograph of the view from his property of the elevated vessel. He felt the boat blocked the view of the waterway. Mayor Naugle pointed out that if the lift had been mounted on the seawall instead of the dock, the boat would not block the views when elevated. Although it was a pretty boat, he did not think it had to block the view. Mr. Morgan displayed photographs of the view, which was limited because of the shape of Mr. Stevenson's property. In order to look down the waterway, one had to walk down to the seawall. Mayor Naugle said he was referring to the view from Mr. Stevenson's pool.

Mr. Morgan thought that anyone's view was limited by the size and shape of the properties they purchased so as not to impose on anyone else's views. Mayor Naugle reiterated that if Dr. Rudnick's boatlift had been installed on the seawall rather than the dock, it would comply with the Code and leave the view unobstructed. Mr. Morgan stated that the applicant had cut the dock back 2' from what had been permitted. Mayor Naugle understood the City had provided inaccurate information, and it would cost thousands of dollars to install davits on the seawall.

Commissioner Smith noted that the applicant had spent thousands of dollars in reliance on the permits issued by the City, and he thought the only possible recourse was for the City to rectify its mistake by building a new lift. He felt the City should also give Mr. Stevenson some relief so he could at least dock a vessel. Mayor Naugle advised that the Board of Adjustment had already denied Mr. Stevenson's request.

The City Attorney believed a suggestion had been made at the Board of Adjustment hearing that the relief being requested be granted for a 5' setback rather than a 0' setback. He thought the Commission might want to consider setback reductions on properties at canal ends in this zoning district from 10' to 5'. If 5' of relief were granted, Mr. Stevenson would be able to dock a 30' vessel, and the Commission could consider some ordinance amendment in that respect.

Mr. Bob Dunckel, Assistant City Attorney, noted that the time had not yet expired for Mr. Stevenson to take advantage of the dispute resolution process to seek an expedient solution. Commissioner Smith liked that idea. Commissioner Moore said that was the reason he wondered if the parties could sit down, talk, and then present the Commission with some type of compromise.

The City Attorney explained that the dispute resolution process could provide some mediation among the parties, but it was a voluntary process that could not be mandated. A report could be presented to the Commission in a few weeks. Mayor Naugle thought Dr. Stevenson's needs could be assessed, too. The City Attorney stated that more than the property before the Commission today could be reviewed, and then necessary actions could be put into motion. In the meantime, he understood the Commission wanted any Code violations to remain "pending" rather than finalized during the resolution process.

Mr. Stevenson and Mr. Morgan were amenable to some type of dispute resolution process. Mayor Naugle stated that enforcement action would be delayed in the meantime.

Commissioner Katz thought the problem had permitted Dr. Rudnick's dock in the first place, and Mr. Stevenson was not guaranteed a water view. She had no objection to the dispute resolution process, but she thought the problem with canal ends would ultimately have to be resolved in any case. Commissioner Moore understood enforcement would be withheld at least until January 8, 2001.

Commissioner Smith wondered how many similar cases there might be in the City. The City Manager was sure there were a considerable number. Commissioner Smith clarified that he was referring to lifts permitted incorrectly without the required dock waiver. Mayor Naugle thought there were probably more than 100, and the City Manager concurred.

The City Manager felt the dispute resolution process in this case would serve as a catalyst to address the larger issue. He thought that would be worthwhile no matter how this particular situation was resolved. Mayor Naugle felt that any fines or enforcement activity on other boatlifts with similar circumstances should be withheld until the larger issue was resolved. Commissioner Katz hoped staff had taken steps to ensure more permits of this nature were not issued incorrectly.

Motion made by Commissioner Moore and seconded by Commissioner Smith to defer this item to 6:00 p.m. on January 8, 2002. Roll call showed: YEAS: Commissioners Smith, Moore, Hutchinson, Katz, and Mayor Naugle. NAYS: none.

**Appeal of Planning and Zoning Board Decision
Denying Right-of-Way Vacations – Portions of S.E. 2 Avenue,
S.E. 1 Avenue, S.W. 7 Street, S.E. 6 Court, and S.E. 3 Avenue –
E. Fredrico Fazio (PZ Case Nos. 14-P-01, 17-P-01, 19-P-01 and 20-P-01) (O-1)**

At the Planning and Zoning regular meeting of August 15, 2001, the following applications were **denied** by a vote of 0 to 7 for PZ Case No. 14-P-01, 1 to 6 for PZ Case No. 17-P-01, 1 to 6 for PZ Case No. 19-P-01, and 0 to 7 for PZ Case No. 20-P-01. Ordinance Nos. C-01-49, C-01-50, C-01-51 and C-01-52 were published on September 20 and 27, 2001, and October 4 and 11, 2001, and were approved on first reading as follows: 14-P-01 by a vote of 4 to 1 (Katz), 17-P-01 by a vote of 3 to 1 (Katz), 19-P-01 by a vote of 3 to 1 (Katz), and 20-P-01 by a vote of 4 to 1.

Applicant: E. Fredrico Fazio
Request: Vacate rights-of-way
Location: Various (see below)

Commissioner Katz noted that there were several objectives contained in the Transportation Concurrency, and one indicated that the City would review and modify as appropriate existing measures for the acquisition and preservation of existing and future public transit rights-of-way and exclusive public transit corridors. Another policy indicated that the City would protect existing or future transportation rights-of-way as identified by Broward County for future mass transit needs. Commissioner Katz felt that was what the City should be doing rather than giving these rights-of-way to private parties.

Commissioner Hutchinson said she had been under the impression that this vacation would not preclude some sort of transit corridor. Mr. Bruce Chatterton agreed that transit facilities could be accommodated within the reduced right-of-way according to the City Engineer, although the width of the sidewalks would be reduced.

Commissioner Moore introduced the following ordinance on second reading (PZ Case No. 14-P-01):

ORDINANCE NO. C-01-49

AN ORDINANCE VACATING, ABANDONING AND CLOSING THE SOUTH 5 FEET OF THE ADDITIONAL THOROUGHFARE DEDICATIONS NORTH OF AND ADJACENT TO PARCEL "A", "QUINN'S PLAT", ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF, RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 153, PAGE 17 AND NORTH OF AND ADJACENT TO PARCELS "A" AND "C", "NANCY'S PLAT", ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF, RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 153, PAGE 14, BOTH OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA, LOCATED ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF SOUTHEAST 6TH COURT, BETWEEN SOUTHEAST 1ST AVENUE AND SOUTHEAST 3RD AVENUE, SUCH LAND BEING LOCATED IN THE CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE, BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA.

Which ordinance was read by title only. Roll call showed: YEAS: Commissioners Smith, Moore, Hutchinson, and Mayor Naugle. NAYS: Commissioner Katz.

Commissioner Moore introduced the following ordinance on second reading (PZ Case No. 17-P-01):

ORDINANCE NO. C-01-50

AN ORDINANCE VACATING, ABANDONING AND CLOSING THE WEST 5 FEET OF THE ADDITIONAL THOROUGHFARE DEDICATION EAST OF AND ADJACENT TO PARCEL "B", "QUINN'S PLAT", ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF, RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 153, PAGE 17, OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA, LOCATED ON THE WEST SIDE OF SOUTHEAST 2ND AVENUE IMMEDIATELY SOUTH OF SOUTHEAST 7TH STREET, SUCH LAND BEING LOCATED IN THE CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE, BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA.

Which ordinance was read by title only. Roll call showed: YEAS: Commissioners Smith, Moore, Hutchinson, and Mayor Naugle. NAYS: Commissioner Katz.

Commissioner Moore introduced the following ordinance on second reading (PZ Case No. 19-P-01):

ORDINANCE NO. C-01-51

AN ORDINANCE VACATING, ABANDONING AND CLOSING THE EAST 5 FEET OF THE ADDITIONAL THOROUGHFARE DEDICATION WEST OF AND ADJACENT TO PARCEL "A", "QUINN'S PLAT", ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF, RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 153, PAGE 17, OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA, LOCATED ON THE EAST SIDE OF SOUTHEAST 1ST AVENUE, BETWEEN SOUTHEAST 6TH COURT AND SOUTHEAST 7TH STREET, SUCH LAND BEING LOCATED IN THE CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE, BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA.

Which ordinance was read by title only. Roll call showed: YEAS: Commissioners Smith, Moore, Hutchinson, and Mayor Naugle. NAYS: Commissioner Katz.

Commissioner Moore introduced the following ordinance on second reading (PZ Case No. 20-P-01), as amended:

ORDINANCE NO. C-01-52

AN ORDINANCE VACATING, ABANDONING AND CLOSING PORTIONS OF THE ADDITIONAL THOROUGHFARE DEDICATIONS ADJACENT TO THE NORTH AND SOUTH RIGHT-OF-WAY LINES OF SOUTHEAST 7TH STREET AND AS SHOWN ON "TRIO PLAT", ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF, RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 153, PAGE 38; "SCHERER TRUST" PLAT, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF, RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 153, PAGE 19; "QUINN'S PLAT", ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 153, PAGE 17; "NANCY'S PLAT", ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF, RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 153, PAGE 14; ALL AS RECORDED IN THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA, LOCATED ON THE NORTH AND SOUTH SIDES OF SOUTHEAST 7TH STREET BETWEEN SOUTHEAST 1ST AVENUE AND SOUTH FEDERAL HIGHWAY, SUCH LAND BEING LOCATED IN THE CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE, BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA.

Which ordinance was read by title only. Roll call showed: YEAS: Commissioners Smith, Moore, Hutchinson, and Mayor Naugle. NAYS: Commissioner Katz.

Advisory Board Appointments (OB)

The City Clerk announced the appointees/reappointees who were the subjects of this resolution:

Budget Advisory Board

Ken Cooper
Ben L. Guenther
Harry D. Sweeney
Shane Gunderson
Ken Strand
Mickey Hinton
Lester Alexander
Elizabeth Hernandez
William Nielsen

Parks, Recreation and Beaches Advisory Board

Robert Missal

Board of Adjustment

Charlene Bender
Patricia Rathburn

Commissioner Moore introduced a written resolution entitled:

RESOLUTION NO. 01-203

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF FORT
LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, APPOINTING BOARD MEMBERS AS SET FORTH
IN THE EXHIBIT ATTACHED HERETO AND MADE A PART HEREOF.

Which resolution was read by title only. Roll call showed: YEAS: Commissioners Smith, Moore, Hutchinson, Katz, and Mayor Naugle. NAYS: none.

At 8:25 P.M., Mayor Naugle adjourned the meeting.

Jim Naugle
Mayor

ATTEST:

Lucy Masliah
City Clerk